

REMARKS

Claims 2-6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are pending. An Office Action mailed March 5, 2008 allowed Claims 2 and 3 and rejected Claims 8, 9, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and Claims 4-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 101. By way of this amendment, Applicant hereby submits Replacement FIGURE 1, amends the specification and Claims 5 and 6 and cancels Claim 4. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.111, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH

The Office Action rejected Claims 8, 9, 11 and 12 as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Office Action states that the claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in a relevant art that the invention, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's specification/drawings fail to provide adequate support and description of physical hardware regarding the various means and components/subcomponents as specified in the claims. With regard to the amended specification and Replacement FIGURE 1, Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Applicant hereby submits Replacement FIGURE 1 that shows a display processor that is coupled to a display device. Support for this replacement is found on page 5, line 35-page 6, line 13. Applicant submits that the specification disclosed a display processor for performing the steps as described on pages 5 and 6 of the specification. Applicant submits that one skilled in the relevant art would understand how a display processor would be able to perform these steps. Therefore, Applicant submits that the specification/drawings provide adequate support and description of physical hardware regarding the various means and components/subcomponents as specified in the claims. Therefore, Applicant submits that Claims 8, 9, 11 and 12 now comply with the written description requirement.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C § 101

The Office Action rejected Claims 4-6 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. With regard to amended Claims 5 and 6, Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Applicant hereby amends Claims 5 and 6 to state that the computer program product resides on a computer-readable medium. Applicant submits that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the display processor 30 (page 5, line 35-page 6, line 13) would operate or execute a computer program product stored on associated computer-readable medium. Therefore, Applicant submits that amended Claims 5 and 6 are now directed to statutory subject matter.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims of the pending application are now in condition for allowance over the cited references. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections, allowance, and early passage through issuance. If the examiner has any questions, the examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's agent listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM^{PLLC}



Michael S. Smith

Registration No. 39,563

Direct Dial: 206.749.9888